When Brand Control Goes Too Far (or Not Far Enough)

Article
Lowndes
11.04.2025

Related Attorneys

Alexander Dobrev

Related Expertise

Real Estate

Trademarks

Your glamorous branded residence deal could accidentally *strip a luxury brand naked* — legally speaking. In trademark law, naked licensing happens when a brand lets someone use its name but fails to control how it's used. No quality checks, no brand oversight, no standards. Suddenly, that famous name risks losing trademark protection.

Yes, the brand can literally go "naked" in the eyes of the law.

Why Developers Should Care

Branded residences are marketing gold: faster sales, higher prices, global cachet. But every brand worth its logo knows it must guard quality like a hawk. So, they'll insist on approval rights and control over:

- Architecture, interiors, and finishes
- Service standards and amenities
- Marketing materials and brand use
- Maintenance and post-completion operations

That's all fine — until the brand crosses from "oversight" into "operations."

Too little control = naked licensing (brand at risk).
Too much control = congratulations, you might be a franchise.

And franchises come with disclosures, registrations, and more legal red tape than a luxury ribbon-cutting.

The Goldilocks Zone of Brand Control

The trick? Keep the brand "dressed" but not "running your business." Ask yourself in negotiations:

- Is the brand approving outcomes (designs, standards) or process (staffing, pricing, marketing decisions)?
- Are standards objective, or “whatever the brand decides next quarter”?
- Are fees flat, or based on your performance (a big franchise red flag)?

If it feels like brand protection, great. If it feels like corporate micromanagement, you may need a franchise lawyer. And maybe a stiff drink.

Quick Reality Check

Let’s say a fashion brand licenses its name for a luxury tower. It’s fine for the brand to approve the design, finishes, and annual inspections. But if they dictate staff uniforms, vendors, and who you can sell to — it's not fine. At that point, they’re managing operations, not protecting IP.

That’s where the developer’s balancing act comes in: too little brand control can lead to *naked licensing* and brand exposure, while too much control risks triggering franchise laws and regulatory exposure. The goal is to find the “just right” balance—a partnership that safeguards the brand, avoids legal pitfalls, and drives profit for both sides.

The Bottom Line

Keep your brands clothed, your lawyers calm, and your agreements clear. A good branded residence license gives the brand the right to check your tie, not to tell you what suit to wear.