

Insights

For Closure In Foreclosure: Appellate Court Restores Lis Pendens Protections In Do-Ober Decision

Article

Lowndes

02.07.2017

The tides have turned in *Ober v. Town of Lauderdale-By-The-Sea* as the Fourth District Court of Appeal recently reversed its decision and revived the full impact of Florida's *lis pendens* statute. By withdrawing and replacing its August 24, 2016 ruling, the *Ober* court shifted its course from an unwarranted evisceration to a welcomed invigoration of the historical efficacy of Florida's *lis pendens* statute—reinforcing the common understanding since 1971 that a buyer receives title to a property free and clear of liens arising after the *lis pendens* and before the certificate of judicial sale.

Under Florida law, a *lis pendens* provides formal notice to all interested parties of a pending legal action which may affect title to a given property. Florida's statute states that the recording of a *lis pendens* effectively bars the enforcement of any subsequently recorded lien against the property unless the lienholder intervenes in the proceedings within 30 days. The statute also provides that anyone who acquires an interest in the property during the "*pendency of the action*" shall take that interest subject to the rendering of a final judgment in such action. Thus, the question became whether the phrase "*pendency of the action*" meant that the *lis pendens* protection continued to the date of the judicial sale of the property or only until the date of final judgment.

In *Ober*, the Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea recorded numerous code enforcement liens for violations occurring during the four-year hiatus between the final judgment of foreclosure and judicial sale of the property. While the court's August 24, 2016 opinion looked to related statutes and case law in hopes of discerning an operative *word*, the court's new *per curiam* decision looks instead to the operative *world*—finding that extending *lis pendens* protection through the date of judicial sale "is consistent with how foreclosure suits operate in the real world."

The court's new decision properly accounts for the fact that foreclosure proceedings are unlike typical civil lawsuits, as much remains to be accomplished after the entry of final judgment (including the judicial sale,

Related Attorneys

[Michael S. Provenzale](#)

Related Expertise

[Distressed Real Estate](#)[Real Estate](#)

issuance of the certificate of sale and title, and prosecution of any deficiency claim). In restoring *lis pendens* protection to the pre-*Ober* status quo, the court noted that in a foreclosure suit, “the final judgment is not the end of the road, but merely a way station to the final result.”

While lenders, borrowers, and junior lien-holders should find solace in *Ober* for restoring the law to how it has existed for the past 45 years, they should also take heed that the court’s decision—much like a final judgment of foreclosure—might not be the end of the road in resolving this issue. Ultimately, the Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea may seek review from the Florida Supreme Court and/or the legislature may choose to amend the *lis pendens* statute to provide further clarification. In the meantime, lenders can again find closure in foreclosure knowing that any liens recorded between the final judgment and judicial sale will be foreclosed by that sale and therefore will not adversely affect the marketability of title to the foreclosed property.